

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/010,627	11/08/2001	Martin Waugh	3561-84	4871	
20575	7590 10/31/2005		EXAMINER		
	MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C.			ROBINSON BOYCE, AKIBA K	
	210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
7011121112	, 511 77251		3639		

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DEXPIRE 3 MON'S COMMUNICAT t, however, may a reply be expire SIX (6) MONTHS attion to become ABAND munication, even if timely 01.	pe timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If filed, may reduce any prosecution as to the merits is
DEXPIRE 3 MON'S COMMUNICAT t, however, may a reply be expire SIX (6) MONTHS ration to become ABAND munication, even if timely one formal matters, byle, 1935 C.D. 11	Art Unit 3639 TH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, TION. TON. TON the timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If filed, may reduce any
DEXPIRE 3 MON'S COMMUNICAT t, however, may a reply be expire SIX (6) MONTHS action to become ABAND munication, even if timely on-final. or formal matters, tyle, 1935 C.D. 11	he correspondence address TH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, TION. TOO to timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If filed, may reduce any
DEXPIRE 3 MON'S COMMUNICAT t, however, may a reply be expire SIX (6) MONTHS action to become ABAND munication, even if timely on-final. or formal matters, tyle, 1935 C.D. 11	TH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, TION. To timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). In filed, may reduce any
S COMMUNICAT t, however, may a reply be expire SIX (6) MONTHS reation to become ABANDo munication, even if timely 01. n-final. or formal matters, nyle, 1935 C.D. 11	Properties of the second of th
S COMMUNICAT t, however, may a reply be expire SIX (6) MONTHS reation to become ABANDo munication, even if timely 01. n-final. or formal matters, nyle, 1935 C.D. 11	Properties of the second of th
n-final. or formal matters, yyle, 1935 C.D. 11	
n-final. or formal matters, yyle, 1935 C.D. 11	
or formal matters, eyle, 1935 C.D. 11	
yle, 1935 C.D. 11	
	, 453 O.G. 213.
sideration.	
sideration.	
sideration.	
sideration.	
quirement.	
quirement.	
objected to by	the Examiner.
held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
d if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
e the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.
or 25 II S C & 111	0(a) (d) or (f)
er 35 U.S.C. § 119	e(a)-(u) or (i).
received.	
received.	cation No
·	eived in this National Stage
received in Appli	erved in this Mational Stage
received in Appli nts have been rec	eived
received in Applints have been reconstruction 17.2(a)).	517 0 4.
received in Applints have been reconstruction 17.2(a)).	
received in Applints have been reconstruction 17.2(a)).	
received in Applints have been reconstruction 17.2(a)).	
received in Applints have been reconstruction 17.2(a)).	
received in Applints have been received 17.2(a)). ed copies not received.	
received in Applints have been received in Applints have been received and received applications. 17.2(a)). ed copies not received applications.	
en	le 17.2(a)). ified copies not rec

Art Unit: 3639

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Due to communications filed 11/8/01, the following is a non-final first office action. Claims 1-58 are pending in this application and have been examined on the merits. Claims 1-58 are rejected as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9, 11, 12-14, 19-29, 31, 33, 34-36, 41-50, 53-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen et al (US 6,182,097).

As per claims 1, 23, Hansen et al discloses:

Retrieving/retrieval software to retrieve a hit record of network traffic data, (Col. 12, lines 1-2, retrieving raw hit records, w/ Col. 4, lines 33-35, shows incorporation of

Web Traffic);

Assigning/assignment software to assign the hit record to a visitor, (Col. 12, lines 9-10, distinguishing hit records according to the visit to which they belong, w/ col. 9, lines 16-18, visitor is assigned a visitor tag to each new hit);

Art Unit: 3639

Recognizing/recognition software to recognize visit information for the visitor based on the hit record, (Col. 12, lines 10-12, associating a visit index with each filtered hit record, w/ col. 9, lines 30-32, where it is shown that when each new visitor record data structure is created, an index number is assigned to the visit);

Storing/storing software to store the visit information for the visitor in a database, (Col. 12, lines 12-16, storing the filtered hit records in a data storage device, in this case, the visit records are analogous to the filtered hit records since Hansen et al shows that a visit index is associated with each filtered hit record, and therefore each filtered hit record is specifically related to a visit. In addition, Hansen's method is related to building a database of information about visits as shown in col. 11, lines 28-29, therefore the step of storing in a storage device leads to the formation of the database structure).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to store the visit information in a database with the motivation of providing a quick and efficient method to access network usage information.

Hansen et al does not specifically disclose retrieval, assignment, recognition and storing software, but does show the use of a computing device in communication with the first and second Web sites, and operated under the control of Web-browser software. The computing device is responsible for requesting, retrieving and directing a data request to either one of the Web sites in the abstract, lines 13-19, also, throughout the Hansen et al reference, Web software is used to track and identify visits.

Art Unit: 3639

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to specifically incorporate software to retrieve, assign, recognize and store data into the invention with the motivation of utilizing resources available in a Web browser computing environment to retrieve, assign, recognize, and store data.

As per claims 2, 24, 46, Hansen et al discloses:

retrieving the hit record from a log file, (col. 8,lines 39-41, log file).

As per claims 3, 25, Hansen et al discloses:

retrieving the hit record from the database, (col. 10, lines 1-3, shadow-directory database contains hit information).

As per claims 4, 26, Hansen et al discloses:

wherein recognizing visit information includes assigning the hit record to a visit, (Col. Col. 9, lines 16-18, visitor assigned a visitor tag with each new hit).

As per claims 5, 27, Hansen et al discloses:

wherein assigning the hit record includes selecting the visit based on an Internet Protocol (IP) address within the hit record and a time delta since a previous hit record with the IP address, (Col. 1, lines 63-66, shows that for each hit, a logfile can be maintained and the information collected in this file can include the host address of the visiting client and time of the hit, w/ col. 2, lines 24-26, shows that it is eventually evident that from the examination of a logfile, which hit corresponds to which visit).

As per claims 6, 28, Hansen et al discloses:

wherein assigning the hit record includes selecting the visit based on a cookie within the hit record and a time delta since a previous hit record with the cookie, (col.

Art Unit: 3639

8, lnes 13-19, cookie, w/ Col. 1, lines 63-66, shows for each hit, a logfile can be maintained and the information collected in this file can include the time of the hit).

As per claims 7, 29, Hansen et al discloses:

wherein recognizing visit information includes identifying a content group viewed by the visitor, (col. 6, lines 46-51, population requesting a given link analyzed into classes).

As per claims 9, 31, 48, Hansen et al discloses:

the method further comprising extracting the visit information from a web-based form, (Col 12, lines 3-7, extracting)

As per claims 11, 33, 49, Hansen et al discloses:

the method further comprising eliminating inaccurate counting of visit information from the database, (Col. 8,lines 20-22, misuse of cookies).

As per claim 12, 34, Hansen et al discloses:

wherein eliminating inaccurate counting identifying an open visit, and deleting visit information derived from the open visit, (Col. 8, lines 13-23, disable cookie mechanism).

As per claims 13, 35, Hansen et al discloses:

the method further comprises storing the hit record in a database, (Col. 12, lines 12-16, storing the filtered hit records in a data storage device, in this case, the visit records are analogous to the filtered hit records since Hansen et al shows that a visit index is associated with each filtered hit record, and therefore each filtered hit record is specifically related to a visit. In addition, Hansen's method is related to building a

Art Unit: 3639

database of information about visits as shown in col. 11, lines 28-29, therefore the step of storing in a storage device leads to the formation of the database structure);

eliminating inaccurate counting further includes regenerating visit information from the hit record in the database for the open visit, (Col. 7, lines 20-22, regeneration each time a Web site is altered).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to store the visit information in a database with the motivation of providing a quick and efficient method to access network usage information.

As per claims 14, 36, Hansen et al discloses:

detecting an open visit in a current time slice; determining a corresponding visit in an adjacent time slice; and adding visit information from the open visit to the corresponding visit, (Col. 2, lines 21-30, records request chronologically).

As per claims 19, 41, Hansen et al discloses:

wherein retrieving a hit record includes filtering the hit record, (Col. 12, lines 3-7, creating filtered hit record).

As per claims 20, 22, 42, 44, 50, Hansen et al discloses:

the method further comprising purging the visit information from the database/
further comprising purging the hit record from the database, (Col. 2, lines 61-7, Web
page giving a user access to usage information, in this case, the visit/hit record
information must be purged to the user in order for the user to access this type of usage
information.

Art Unit::3639

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to purge the visit/hit record information with the motivation of allowing the release of this type of information to one who requests it.

As per claims 21, 43, 47, Hansen et al discloses:

further comprising storing the hit record in the database, (Col. 12, lines 12-16, storing the filtered hit records in a data storage device).

As per claim 45, Hansen et al discloses:

a computer system, (Abstract, lines 13-19, computing system that implements method);

at least one hit record on the computer system, Col. 12, lines 1-2, using the method to retrieve raw hit records);

a database on the computer system, the database designed to store visit information derived from the hit record, (Col. 12, lines 12-16, storing the filtered hit records in a data storage device, in this case, the visit records are analogous to the filtered hit records since Hansen et al shows that a visit index is associated with each filtered hit record, and therefore each filtered hit record is specifically related to a visit. In addition, Hansen's method is related to building a database of information about visits as shown in col. 11, lines 28-29, therefore the step of storing in a storage device leads to the formation of the database structure).

means for defining visit information from the hit record on the computer system,

Col. 12, lines 10-12, associating a visit index with each filtered hit record, w/ col. 9, lines

Art Unit: 3639

30-32, where it is shown that when each new visitor record data structure is created, an index number is assigned to the visit);

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to store the visit information in a database with the motivation of providing a quick and efficient method to access network usage information.

As per claims 53, 56, Hansen et al discloses:

Assigning/assignment software to assign a name to the visit information, col. 9, lines 30-32, shows that when each new visitor record data structure is created, an index number is assigned to the visit);

Specifying/specification software to specify a source of a value for the visit information, col. 12, lines 10-12, associating a visit index with each hit record; and

Storing/storage software to store the name of the visit information and the source of a value for the visit information in a database, (Col. 12, lines 12-16, storing the filtered hit records in a data storage device, in this case, the name of the visit information is analogous to the visit index associated with filtered hit records, which is part of the filtered hit record and therefore also stored. In addition, Hansen's method is related to building a database of information about visits as shown in col. 11, lines 28-29, therefore the step of storing in a storage device leads to the formation of the database structure).

Hansen et al does not specifically disclose assignment, specifying and storing software, but does show the use of a computing device in communication with the first and second Web sites, and operated under the control of Web-browser software. The

Art Unit: 3639

computing device is responsible for requesting, retrieving and directing a data request to either one of the Web sites in the abstract, lines 13-19, also, throughout the Hansen et al reference, Web software is used to track and identify visits.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to specifically incorporate software to assign, specify, and store data into the invention with the motivation of utilizing resources available in a Web browser computing environment to assign, specify and store data.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to store the visit information in a database with the motivation of providing a quick and efficient method to access network usage information.

As per claims 54, 57, Hansen et al discloses:

wherein specifying a source includes identifying a uniform resource locator (URL) and a parameter name for the value for the visit information, (Col. 3, lines 28-32, URL).

As per claims 55, 58, Hansen et al discloses:

accessing the value for the visit information for a visitor, (Col. 12, lines 9-10, distinguishing hit records according to the visit to which they belong, w. col. 9, lines 16, visitor assigned visitor tag with each new hit); and

linking the visit information, the visitor, and the value for the visit information, the visitor, and the value for the visit information, the visitor, and the value for the visit information, the visitor, and the value for the visit information, the visitor, and the value for the visit information, the visitor, and the value for the visit information, the visitor, and the value for the visit information, the visitor, and the value for the visit information, and the value for the visit information, the visitor, and the value for the visit information, the visit information in the value for the visit information, the visit information in the value for the visit information in the visit informatio

Art Unit: 3639

4. Claims 8, 10, 30 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen et al (US 6,182,097), and in further view of Shapira et al (US 6,925,442).

As per claims 8, 30, Hansen et al fail to disclose wherein recognizing visit information includes identifying an advertising campaign that brought the visitor to a business, but does disclose commercial Web servers that record client requests, and generates a separate entry for each hit in col. 1, lines 60-63.

However, Shapira et al discloses:

wherein recognizing visit information includes identifying an advertising campaign that brought the visitor to a business, (Col. 1, lines 50-66, advertising campaigns).

Shapira et al discloses this limitation in an analogous art for the purpose of showing how visitors can be induced to visit a web site via advertisements placed on remote web sites.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to identify an advertising campaign that brought the visitor to a business with the motivation of determining and storing which advertising campaign is associated with a hit record.

As per claims 10, 32, Hansen et al fail to disclose wherein extracting the visit information includes identifying an amount of money spent during a visit, but does disclose commercial Web servers that record client requests, and generates a separate entry for each hit in col. 1, lines 60-63.

However, Shapira et al discloses:

Art Unit: 3639

wherein extracting the visit information includes identifying an amount of money spent during a visit, (Col. 2, lines 5-12, shows advertising campaign generates collective value of thousands of dollars). Shapira et al discloses this limitation in an analogous art for the purpose of measuring the effectiveness of advertising.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include identifying an amount of money spent during a visit with the motivation of determining money spent associated with a hit record.

5. Claims 15-18, 37-40, 51, 52, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen et al (US 6,182,097), and further in view of Foote (US 6,065,068).

As per claims 15-17, 37-39, 52, Hansen et al fails to disclose using a semaphore on the database for a time range; and releasing the semaphore after the visit information is stored/blocking an operation on the time range until the semaphore is released/using a semaphore ion the database; retrieving the visit information from the database; and releasing the semaphore after the visit information is retrieved, (Col. 5, line 60-Col. 6,line 9, semaphore request time, deny an access request). Foote discloses this limitation in an analogous art for the purpose of determining the times of subsequent access requests.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to use a semaphore on the database for a time range; and releasing the semaphore after the visit information is stored with the motivation of setting a specific time range for accessing visit information.

Art Unit: 3639

As per claim 18, 40, 51, Hansen et al fails to disclose

Wherein storing the visit information further includes taking a snapshot of a setting for a database, (Col. 36, lines 37-39, snap shot feature). Foote discloses this limitation in an analogous art for the purpose of capturing the state of a module bank for later use as a power up configuration.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to store the take a snapshot of a setting for a database with the motivation of capturing visit information as it occurred.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Akiba K Robinson-Boyce whose telephone number is 571-272-6734. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday 8:30am-5pm, and Wednesday, 8:30 am-12:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on 571-272-6708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7238 [After final communications, labeled "Box AF"], 703-746-7239 [Official Communications], and 703-746-7150 [Informal/Draft Communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"].

Art Unit: 3639

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

3900.

A. R. B.

October 25, 2005